

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/384,675 | 08/27/1999 | GREGORY B. ARNOLD | M-617 | 8146 |
| 75 | 90 12/23/2002 | | | |
| JOSEPH J GRASS | | | EXAMINER | |
| MONARCH MARKING SYSTEMS INC P O BOX 608 | | | FUREMAN, JARED | |
| DAYTON, OH 45401 | | | ADTIBUT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | ć | 2876 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/384,675 | ARNOLD ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit \ | | | |
| | Jared J. Fureman | 2876 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in that SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | pecause: | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ction(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided b | · b) will be entered and an elow or appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | s: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | is a) \square approved or b) \square disa | approved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet **MICHAEL 6. LEE **SUPERPROPY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | | | |
| | | 150.1110500. | | | |

r. À.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The objection to the drawings. The drawings filed on 8/27/1999 are accepted by the examiner.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Fukumoto et al, Sherman et al, Hanson, Goodwin et al, Austin et al, McKinnon et al, and NL 174772 B references meet the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 10. Other: The translation of the abstract of NL 174772 B is the only portion of the document available to the USPTO, thus, the entire document cannot be cited. Claims 37-67, 69, 70, and 72 remain rejected as set forth in the final office action (see paper number 18).